

# 2017-2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

## **Addendum**

## **Performance Measurement**

Adopted

May, 2019

#### Introduction

In accordance with Federal regulations, the Morgantown Monongalia Metropolitan Planning Organization recently adopted performance measures established by the West Virginia DOT. The measures provide a set of criteria for evaluating the impact of a project on achieving national goals for pavement condition, bridge condition, system performance (travel time reliability), freight movement, congestion mitigation, and safety.

The primary purpose of the evaluation process developed by MPO staff is to assess the effect of projects included in the MPO's Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program, using the established performance measures. As a part of the evaluation process, a project index and an index/cost ratio are assigned to each project being assessed. Using the index value and index/cost ratio value, two or more transportation projects can be compared in terms of their individual impact to the measures. In addition, the process can also be used to compare the collective impacts of different groups of projects, which include projects currently not in the fiscally constrained portion of the MPO's Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

The evaluation outcome can be used to inform MTP project selection and prioritization, complementing with other elements in transportation planning process, such as service deficiency, community preference, environmental justice, transportation equity, and geographic balance.

## **Performance Measures and Targets**

This section fulfills the requirement of 23 CFR 450.324(f)(3): MTP includes a description of the (Federally required) performance measures and performance targets used in assessing the performance of the transportation system.

The MMMPO adopted the following performance measures and performance targets for its Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The measures and targets are those established by the West Virginia Department of Transportation-Division of Highways.

1. Interstate/Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Condition							
National Performance Management Measures for Assessing Pavement O	Condition 2	23 CFR 49	90 (Subpart A & C)				
	Performance Targets						
Performance Measures	State T						
	2-yr	4-yr	Target Frequency				
Percent of pavements on the Interstate system in GOOD condition		75%	4-year (2018-2021)				
Percent of pavements on the Interstate system in POOR condition		4.0%	4-year (2018-2021)				
Percent of pavements on the non-Interstate NHS in GOOD condition	40.0%	45%	2-year (2018-2019)				
Percent of pavements on the non-Interstate NHS in POOR condition	5%	5%	4-year (2018-2021)				
2. NHS Bridge Condition							
National Performance Management Measures for Assessing Bridge Cor	ndition 23 (	CFR 490 (	(Subpart A & D)				
	Performance Targets						
Performance Measures	State T	argets	Torget Eraguanav				
	2-yr	4-yr	Target Frequency				
Percent of NHS bridge deck area classified as in GOOD condition	14%	16%	2-year (2018-2019)				
Percent of NHS bridge deck area classified as in POOR condition	10%	10%	4-year (2018-2021)				
3. System Performance							
National Performance Management Measures to Assess Performance of	f the Nation	nal Highw	vay System 23 CFR 490				
(Subpart A & E)							
		Perform	ance Targets				
Performance Measures	State T	argets	Target Frequency				
	2-yr	4-yr	Target Frequency				
Percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate system that are	98%	96%					
reliable (Level of Travel Time Reliability, LOTTR)	9070	2070	2-year (2018-2019)				
Percent of person miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are		87%	4-year (2018-2021)				
reliable (Level of Travel Time Reliability, LOTTR)		07/0					

4. Freight Movement									
		Performance Targets							
Performance Measures	State T	State Targets Target F							
	2-yr	4-yr	Target Frequency						
Travel time reliability of trucks on the Interstate System			2 year (2018 2010)						
(Truck Travel Time Reliability = average ratio of 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile	1.25	1.30	2-year (2018-2019) 4-year (2018-2021)						
travel time to 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile travel time)			4-year (2016-2021)						

## 5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Measures

National Performance Management Measures for Assessing the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program CFR 490 (Subpart A, G & H)

		Perform	ance Targets		
Performance Measures	State T	argets	Towart Emaguemen		
	2-yr	4-yr	Target Frequency		
On-Road Mobile Source Emissions - CMAQ Emissions Reduction	PM2.s:	PM.o:	2-year (2018-2019)		
	0.092	0.000	4-year (2018-2019)		
	kg/day	kg/day	4-year (2018-2021)		

6. Safety Performance	
Performance Measures	Performance Targets
Number of fatalities	Reduce five year average fatalities on highways by 50% by 2030
Number of serious injuries	Reduce the five year average number of serious injuries on highways by 66% by 2030
Fatality rate per HMVMT*	Reduce the five year average fatality rate per HMVMT by 50% by 2030
Serious Injury Rate per HMVMT	Reduce the five year average injury rate per HMVMT by 66% by 2030
Number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries	Reduce the five year average number of non-motorized fatalities and injuries:  a. Reduce fatalities by 50% by 2030  b. Reduce non-motorized serious injuries by 66% by 2030
*Hundred Million Vehicle Miles Traveled	

## **Performance Measures System Evaluation Report**

This section fulfills the requirement of 23 CFR 450.324(f)(4): MTP should include a system evaluation report evaluating the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to the (Federally required) performance targets including progress achieved by the MPO toward the performance targets.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Measures are NOT a required for performance measure for the MMMPO. However, projects proposed in the MPO's Metropolitan Transportation Plan address traffic congestion issues to various degrees. By including CMAQ measures as a part of the evaluation, the assessment will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the projects impact to the transportation system in the region.

#### **Evaluation Methodology**

The following table illustrates the steps used to evaluate the impact of MTP Tier 1 projects to the Performance Measures.

	Step 1. Impact Evaluation						
Purpose	Description	Outcome					
Understand the impact of a project to	Assess the impact of a project to each performance measure	Impact					
each established performance	established by the WV DOT, using a scale of 1-5, where 1	Value					
measure.	means the lowest impact, and 5 means the highest impact	varue					
	Step 2. Weight Evaluation						
Purpose	Description	Outcome					
Understand the preference and	Decide the weight of performance measure categories, and						
priority of the community with	the weight of performance measures under each category.	Weight					
respect to established performance		Value					
measures.							
	Stan 2 Index Calculation						
Purpose	Step 3. Index Calculation  Description	Outcome					
ruipose	Multiplying the Impact Values (Step 1) and Weight Value	Outcome					
Prepare for the calculation of Project	e for the calculation of Project (Stan 2) Index to Cost Patio is calculated by dividing the						
Index Value in the following step.	index value by the estimated project cost.	Value					
	macri varae of the estimated project costs						
	Step 4. Project Index						
Purpose	Description	Outcome					
Understand the impact of a project to	Calculate the index value of a project by summing up all	Project					
performance measure with	index value of that project.	Index					
consideration of community priority.		Value					
	Step 5. Index/Cost Ratio						
Purpose	Description	Outcome					
Understand the cost efficiency of	Calculate the ratio by dividing the Project Index Value with	Index/Cost					
each project with reference to its	the estimated project cost of a project.	Ratio					
performance measures impact.							
Drawe	Step 6. System-wide Impact Index	Onton					
Purpose	Description (Star 2) and a part of support	Outcome					
Understand the agglomerate impact	Sum up the Index Value (Step 3) under performance						
of all tier 1 projects to established performance measures.	measure category.						
performance measures.							

## **Evaluation Process and Outcome**

## **Step 1. Impact Evaluation**

	Est. Cost (Million)		1. Interstate/Non-	Interstate INTS Favenien Condition		F. G.SIIV	2. INDS Bridge Condition	3 System Darformonee		A Engishe Meromone	+ 1.1cigili iviovenicili	5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Measures				o. Salety Feriorinance		
		1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5.1	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6
I-79 Access Improvement Phase I	110- 120	ı	-	5	5		-	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3
Grumbein's Island Grade Separation	3								3			3					5	5
Stewartstown Rd Improvements	12			3	1				3		1	2	3	3	3	3	1	1
West Run Rd Improvements-Eastern Section	3			3	1				3			2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Earl Core Road (WV 7)-Northern Section	9			3	1				5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	1	1
University Ave Corridor Improvements Phase 1	36	ı	1	3	1	1	1	1	4	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	4	4
Fairmont Rd/Holland Ave Improvements Phase I	11	ı	ı	3	1	1	ı	1	3	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Northside Connector Bus Rapid Transit	1	ı	ı	ŀ	ŀ	1	1	•	5	1	ı	4	2	2	2	2	5	5
Grant Ave Bicycle/Pedestrian Connector	0.9						1		1		-	1					5	5

## Step 2. Weight Evaluation

Performance Measures	Weight
1. Interstate/Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Condition	12
1.1 Percent of pavement on the Interstate system in GOOD condition	3
1.2 percent of pavement on the Interstate system in POOR condition	3
1.3 Percent of pavement on the non-Interstates NHS in GOOD condition	3
1.4 Percent of pavement on the non-Interstate NHS in POOR condition	3
2. NHS Bridge Condition (Total 12 Points)	12
2.1 Percent of NHS bridge deck area classified as in GOOD condition	6
2.2 Percent of NHS bridge deck area classified as in POOR condition	6
3. System Performance (Total 12 Points)	12
3.1 percent of person miles traveled on the Interstate system that are reliable (Level of Travel Time Reliability)	6
3.2 Percent of person miles traveled on the non-interstate NHS that are reliable (Level of Travel Time Reliability)	6
4 Freight Movement (Total 12 Points)	12
4.1 Travel time reliability of trucks on the Interstate System	6
4.2 Safety Performance Measures	6
5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Measures (Total 12 Points)	
5.1 On-road mobile source emissions – CMAQ Emissions Reduction	
6. Safety Performance (Total 12 Points)	12
6.1 Number of Fatalities + 6.3 Fatality rate per hundred million vehicles miles traveled (HMVMT)	4
6.2 Number of serious injuries + 6.4 Injury rate per hundred million vehicle miles traveled (HMVMT)	4
6.5 Number of non-motorized fatalities + 6.6 Number of non-motorized serious injuries	4

**Step 3. Index Calculation** 

	Est. Cost (Million)		1. Interstate/Non-	Interstate INTS Favenien Condition		F. G.SIIV	2. NHS Bridge Condition	3 System Performance		1 English Merroment	+ rreight movement	5. Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Measures				o. Salety reflormance		
		1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5.1	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6
I-79 Access Improvement Phase I	110- 120			15	15			24	30	30	30	60	10	10	10	10	6	6
Grumbein's Island Grade Separation	3								18			36					10	10
Stewartstown Rd Improvements	12			9	3				18		6	24	6	6	6	6	2	2
West Run Rd Improvements-Eastern Section	3			9	3				18			24	6	6	6	6	6	6
Earl Core Road (WV 7)-Northern Section	9			9	3				30	24	24	36	6	6	6	6	2	2
University Ave Corridor Improvements Phase 1	36			9	3				24	12	12	36	4	4	4	4	8	8
Fairmont Rd/Holland Ave Improvements Phase I	11			9	3				18	24	24	24	4	4	4	4	4	4
Northside Connector Bus Rapid Transit	1							•	30			48	4	4	4	4	10	10
Grant Ave Bicycle/Pedestrian Connector	0.9								6			12					10	10

## Step 4. Project Index and Step 5. Index/Cost Ratio

Est. Cost	Project Name	Index	Index/Cost Ratio
120	I-79 Access Improvement Phase I	256	2
9	Earl Core Road (WV 7)-Northern Section	154	17
36	University Ave Corridor Improvements Phase 1	128	4
11	Fairmont Rd/Holland Ave Improvements Phase I	126	11
20	Northside Connector Bus Rapid Transit	114	6*
3	West Run Rd Improvements-Eastern Section	90	30
12	Stewartstown Rd Improvements	88	7
3	Grumbein's Island Grade Separation	74	25
0.9	Grant Ave Bicycle/Pedestrian Connector	38	42

\*based on 20 years' operation

### Step 6. System-wide Impact Index

1. Interstate/Non-Interstate NHS Pavement Condition				NHS dge lition		ystem		eight ement	5. CMAQ Measures		6. S	Safety P	erforma	nce		
1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2	5.1	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6
0	0	60	30	0	0	24	192 90 96		300	40	40	40	40	58	58	
90 0					2	216 186 300 276						76				
1068																